

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 are pending in the application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

In response to the Office Action dated December 8, 2010, Claims 1-25 remain unchanged. No new subject matter has been added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Rejection of Claims 1-25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over US 6,018,768 (Ullman) in view of US 6,326,982 (Wu)

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 1-25. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Ullman and Wu does not teach or suggest all the claim limitations as set forth in independent claims 1 and 14. For example, independent claim 1 and 14 recite “the STB transmit[ing the] current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device” and “the auxiliary display device determin[ing] a particular uniform resource locator (URL) associated with the current tuned channel number utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB” which are not taught or suggested in the combination of Ullman and Wu.

Applicant also submits that the combination of Ullman and Wu does not teach or suggest all the claim limitations as set forth in independent claims 7 and 21. For example, independent claim 7 recites “the STB transmitting the VCM [the VCM including uniform resource locator (URL) information associated with at least one program channel] to the auxiliary display device”, “the STB transmitting the current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device”, and “the auxiliary display device correlating the current tuned channel number to a particular URL contained in the VCM utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB” and independent claim 21 recites “the STB transmits the current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device via the remote server and the auxiliary device determines the web page to be displayed utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB” which are not taught or suggested in the combination of Ullman and Wu.

Ullman is directed towards a system for integrating video programming with information resources of the internet. Ullman, in col. 7 lines 42-53, discloses that a local PC or a digital cable box receives video signals and link files including URLs directly from a server. Alternatively, the link files can instead be received directly over the internet or another data channel. The PC or the digital cable box decodes and retrieves web pages corresponding to the URLs included in the link files and displays the web pages along with the corresponding video program on a display screen. Therefore, Ullman at best discloses in col. 9 lines 52-55 that a client software in either the digital cable box or the PC decodes the URLs and displays the web pages and the video content on a single display monitor or on separate display monitors. Ullman nowhere shows or suggest transmitting any channel number from the PC (or the digital cable box) to any the computer screen or the TV. Ullman also does not teach determining the URL to be displayed using the channel number by the TV or by the computer screen.

Wu is directed towards a method for automatically accessing web pages by a client from a server. Wu, in col. 12 lines 7-10, teaches that a web/TV client receives a mapping unit information including a time frame parameter and a channel parameter for each segment of video data. Wu at best discloses in col. 12 lines 19-25 and col. 12 lines 51-60 that based on a selected channel parameter, the web/TV client determines web addresses and retrieves the corresponding web pages and displays them on a TV. However, Wu also does not overcome the deficiencies of Ullman. In that, Wu also does not show or suggest transmitting the mapping unit information or the channel parameter to the TV. And Wu's TV does not determine the URL based on any channel number.

Therefore, determining the URL is performed by the digital cable box (or PC) in Ullman and by the web/TV client in Wu. These devices can be equated to Applicant's STB. However, both the references fail to teach any auxiliary display device (such as the TV, screen, etc) determining the URL. Therefore, the combination of Ullman and Wu does not show or suggest "the STB transmit[ting the] current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device" and "the auxiliary display device determin[ing] a particular uniform resource locator (URL) associated with the current tuned channel number utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB" as recited by Applicant's claims 1 and 14 and "the STB transmitting the VCM [the VCM including

uniform resource locator (URL) information associated with at least one program channel] to the auxiliary display device", "the STB transmitting the current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device", and "the auxiliary display device correlating the current tuned channel number to a particular URL contained in the VCM utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB" as recited by Applicant's claim 7 and "the STB transmits the current tuned channel number to the auxiliary display device via the remote server and the auxiliary device determines the web page to be displayed utilizing the current tuned channel number provided by the STB" as recited by Applicant's claim 21. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 7, 14, and 21 under 35 U.S.C 103 (a).

Dependent claims 2-6, 8-13, 15-20, and 22-25 depend from, and include all the limitations of independent claims 1, 7, 14, and 21 respectively. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the reconsideration of dependent claims 2-6, 8-13, 15-20, and 22-25 and requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicant. Should the Examiner have any questions, comments, or suggestions, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's attorney or agent at the telephone number indicated below.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 505278, Motorola Mobility, Inc.

Date: March 8, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Motorola Mobility, Inc.
101 Tournament Drive
Horsham, PA 19044
(215) 323-1797

By: /Larry T. Cullen/
Larry T. Cullen
Reg. No.: 44,489